

From: [ANDERSON Jim M](#)
To: [Applegate, Rick](#); [Chip_Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [MCCLINCY Matt](#); [Rose Longoria](#); [valerie.lee@eilttd.net](#); [Wyatt, Robert](#); [J McKenna](#); [Keith Pine](#); [Valerie Oster](#)
Cc: [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Subject: RE: Projected RI/FS ROD Schedule - msg, info for senior managers
Date: 06/26/2006 04:21 PM

I agree with Rick's comments. I would call the "Troubled" Schedule the "Delayed" Schedule or the "Contingent" Schedule.

1 typo on the Schedule..., the 2nd line in each schedule should say "Round 3B FSP" not "Round 2B FSP". Also, some of the target dates have specific calendar days & some just month/year..., I'd suggest just using month/year.

The draft e-mail looks fine. I suggest you consider adding this text as a final paragraph:

The EPA/LWG project management team will have a clearer more definitive project schedule when we all agree on the Round 3B scope of work designed to fill the project data gaps presented in the Round 2 Report. We should agree on the Round 3B scope of work with EPA's approval of the Round 3B FSPs (6/07 or 12/07).

James M. Anderson
DEQ Northwest Region
Portland Harbor Section
Phone (503) 229-6825
Fax (503) 229-6899

-----Original Message-----

From: Applegate, Rick [<mailto:RICKA@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US>]
Sent: Monday, June 26, 2006 1:57 PM
To: 'Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov'; ANDERSON Jim M; MCCLINCY Matt; 'Rose Longoria'; 'valerie.lee@eilttd.net'; 'Wyatt, Robert'; 'J McKenna'; Applegate, Rick; 'Keith Pine'; 'Valerie Oster'
Cc: 'Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov'
Subject: RE: Projected RI/FS ROD Schedule - msg, info for senior managers

Thanks, Chip. I think this has been a useful and revealing exercise and I will be interested in the senior managers' reactions. A couple things: First, I'm not sure I would call the second schedule "troubled". It is a longer schedule driven by various difficulties along the way. I think it is good to specify some of those, as they can arise on either the agency and Tribal or PRP side. Second, both schedules depend on the February 1 date for submission of the Round 2 Comp Report. That is a very tight date, but of greater importance, as we've discussed, is that, whatever the date, the Round 2 report needs to be objective, non-positional, transparent, etc--with no major surprises. This point deserves repeated emphasis in any discussion of schedule because a disconnect on the report content at that date has immediate and potentially severe scheduling implications. I am not sure we have really figured out the streamlined opportunities for LWG/agency and partner interaction between now and then. I clearly understand the argument for being very efficient about that, but we also need to be sure we help avoid surprise and dismay at the end of the process. Bob and Jim may have some other observations, but those are my main ones. Thanks again for the good work on this.

-----Original Message-----

From: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov

To: anderson.jim@deq.state.or.us; MCCLINCY Matt; Rose Longoria; valerie.lee@eiltld.net; Wyatt, Robert; J McKenna; R Applegate; Keith Pine; Valerie Oster

Cc: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov

Sent: 6/26/2006 1:43 PM

Subject: Projected RI/FS ROD Schedule - msg, info for senior managers

As discussed at last Friday's project management meeting, Eric and I will be sending the senior managers the project schedule(s) showing the two schedules - the projected schedule and the "troubled" schedule - with the ranges (2010 ROD and 2012 ROD) that were developed at our last

couple of project management meetings. Thanks to Valerie Oster for providing the 1st draft based on the meeting notes; the attached is a slightly tweaked version of that draft. Please let us know ASAP if you have comments on the attached schedule(s) and draft email message. We'd like to get this to the senior managers by Wednesday morning (or sooner if possible).

Nominations gladly accepted for alternatives to the "troubled" label for the 2012 schedule.

chip

(See attached file: PH Projected Schedule June 2006.doc)

<<PH Projected Schedule June 2006.doc>>